The Effect of Methylphenidate on Prefrontal Cognitive Functioning, Inattention, and Hyperactivity in Velocardiofacial Syndrome

Tamar Green, M.D.,^{1,2} Ronnie Weinberger, M.A.,³ Adele Diamond, Ph.D.,^{4,5} Michael Berant, M.D.,⁶ Leora Hirschfeld, M.A.,³ Amos Frisch, Ph.D.,^{1,7} Omer Zarchi, M.A.,^{3,8} Abraham Weizman, M.D.,^{1,7,9} and Doron Gothelf, M.D.^{1,3}

Abstract

Objective: Methylphenidate (MPH) is commonly used to treat attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in all children, including those with velocardiofacial syndrome (VCFS). Yet concerns have been raised regarding its safety and efficacy in VCFS. The goal of this study was to examine the safety and efficacy of MPH in children with VCFS.

Methods: Thirty-four children and adolescents with VCFS and ADHD participated in a randomized, controlled trial with a 2:1 ratio of MPH versus placebo. All subjects underwent a cardiological evaluation before and after MPH administration. The primary outcome measure was prefrontal cognitive performance following a single dose of MPH or placebo. A follow-up assessment was conducted after a 6-month treatment with MPH.

Results: Compared with placebo, single MPH administration was associated with a more robust improvement in prefrontal cognitive performance, including achievements in the Hearts and Flowers executive function task and the visual continuous performance task. After 6 months of treatment, a 40% reduction in severity of ADHD symptoms was reported by parents on the Revised Conners Rating Scale. All subjects treated with MPH reported at least one side effect, but it did not necessitate discontinuation of treatment. MPH induced an increase in heart rate and blood pressure that was usually minor, but was clinically significant in two cases. No differences in response to MPH were observed between *catechol-O-methyltransferase Met* versus *Val* carriers.

Conclusion: The use of MPH in children with VCFS appears to be effective and relatively safe. A comprehensive cardio-vascular evaluation for children with VCFS before and during stimulant treatment is recommended.

Introduction

VELOCARDIOFACIAL SYNDROME (VCFS), also known as 22q11 deletion syndrome, is the most commonly known microdeletion syndrome, occurring in 1 in 4,000 live births (Shprintzen 2000). The syndrome is associated with palate velum anomalies, congenital cardiovascular defects, and typical facial features (Shprintzen 2000). In addition to the physical manifestations, individuals with VCFS have high rates of psychiatric morbidity and cognitive deficits (Debbane et al. 2006). Some of the most common psychiatric disorders in VCFS are related to dopamine dysregulation, including schizophrenia (occurring in ~25% of individuals with VCFS) (Bassett et al. 2003) and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (in about ~50% of children with VCFS) (Aneja et al. 2007; Green et al. 2009).

Despite their common prescription, very little has been published on the effectiveness and safety of psychiatric treatments such as stimulants in individuals with VCFS (Gothelf et al. 2003).

This work was presented as a new research poster at the Society of Biological Psychiatry 64th Annual Scientific Convention, Vancouver, Canada, 2009. ¹Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.

²Nes-Ziyyona—Beer Yaakov Mental Health Center, Beer Yaakov, Israel.

³The Child Psychiatry Unit, Edmond and Lily Safra Children's Hospital, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Ramat Gan, Israel.

⁴Department of Psychiatry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

⁵British Columbia Children's Hospital, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

⁶The Child Cardiological Department, Schneider Children's Medical Center, Petah Tiqwa, Israel.

⁷Felsenstein Medical Research Center, Petah Tiqwa, Israel.

⁸Interdisciplinary Ph.D. Program in Neuroscience, Tel Aviv, Israel.

⁹Geha Mental-Health Center, Petah Tiqwa, Israel.

This work was funded by the Basil O'Connor Starter Scholar Research Award of the March of Dimes (Grant No. 5-FY06-590), NARSAD Young Investigator Award, the Marguerite Stolz Award from the Sackler Faculty of Medicine (to D.G.), and an R01 grant from the National Institute on Drug Abuse NIDA (Grant No. DA019685 to A.D.).

The use of methylphenidate (MPH) to treat the ADHD symptoms of children with VCFS and ADHD has raised several concerns. First, about 70% of children with VCFS have congenital cardiovascular anomalies and are therefore at possible increased risk for developing arrhythmias when taking stimulants (Vetter et al. 2008). Second, potential side effects of stimulants in the general population include psychotic and affective symptoms common in VCFS. Third, because individuals with VCFS have putatively higher prefrontal cortex (PFC) levels of dopamine due to *catechol-O-methyltransferase* (*COMT*) haploinsufficiency, concerns have been regarding the rationale of prescribing an agent that increases brain dopamine level in subjects with VCFS (Funke et al. 2001).

Despite these concerns, only one open-label study has been conducted to investigate the issues (Gothelf et al. 2003). This 4week open study examined MPH treatment in 12 subjects who suffered from ADHD and VCFS. The treated subjects generally improved, and none of the subjects developed psychotic, manic, or hypomanic symptoms or had to discontinue MPH because of a major side effect.

In the present study, we sought to go beyond the previous one and conduct in-depth assessment of the effectiveness and safety of MPH, with the following aims: (1) To evaluate the efficacy of a single dose of MPH treatment compared with placebo in improving dopamine-dependent PFC cognitive functioning in children with VCFS and co-morbid ADHD, (2) to evaluate the effectiveness and long-term safety of MPH in a larger sample of children with VCFS than previously studied, and (3) to assess the moderator effect of *COMT* genotype on MPH dopamine-dependent prefrontal cognitive functioning and on the rate of side effects.

Methods

Subjects

Thirty-four children and adolescents with VCFS, 20 males and 14 females aged 5–20 years (mean age \pm SD: 11.1 \pm 3.7 years), were evaluated at the Behavioral Neurogenetics Center, Schneider Children's Medical Center of Israel. The diagnosis of VCFS was confirmed in all subjects using the fluorescent *in situ* hybridization test. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Rabin Medical Center, with informed consent obtained in writing from all participants and/or their parents or guardians.

Evaluation

Study subjects were randomly assigned to receive MPH (Ritalin[®]; Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Summit, NJ) or placebo in a 2:1 ratio. The primary outcome measure was change in prefrontal cognitive performance after a single dose of MPH or placebo. Subjects underwent cardiologic evaluation and prefrontal cognitive assessment immediately prior to taking the pill (MPH or placebo) and again after 90 minutes on the pill. MPH was prescribed at a weight-adjusted dose of 0.5 mg/kg, and the mean dosage prescribed was 15.7 ± 5.6 mg. Children who had been previously treated with MPH (n = 13, 38.2%) were washed out of stimulants for 3 days prior to the evaluation day. Follow-up psychiatric evaluation was conducted after 6 months of treatment for children who continued MPH treatment beyond the 1-day prefrontal cognitive testing.

Neuropsychiatric assessment

IQ was measured using the age-appropriate versions of WISC-III and WAIS-III (Caplan et al. 1997; Wechsler 1991). All subjects and their parents were interviewed by a child psychiatrist using the Hebrew version of the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Aged Children, Present and Lifetime (K-SADS-PL) (Kaufman et al. 1997; Shanee et al. 1997). In addition to the K-SADS-PL screening, the ADHD module of the K-SADS-Present was used. The module is comprised of 18 items from the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 4th edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR) (American Psychiatric Association 2000) (9 for attention, 6 for hyperactivity, and 3 for impulsivity), with each ranked 0 ("not at all"), 1 ("sometimes"), or 2 ("often"). In addition, the Revised Conners Rating Scale (RCRS) was used at baseline and 6 months after treatment (Goyette and Conners 1978).

Medical assessment

All children were examined by a pediatric cardiologist and had echocardiograms. Electrocardiograms, heart rate, and blood pressure evaluations were conducted just before prescribing MPH and while on MPH.

Side effects

Potential MPH-induced side effects were assessed with the Barkley Side Effects Rating Scale (modified Hebrew version) (Berkley 1988). The scale was administered to the parents at 24 hours after MPH administration and 6 months later.

Prefrontal cognitive outcome measures

The cognitive battery included a Directional Stroop task (using Hearts and Flowers) (Diamond et al. 2007), Visual Continuous Performance Task (VCPT) (Kerns 1998), and Self-Ordered Pointing (Petrides and Milner 1982).

The Hearts and Flowers task. It taxes working memory, inhibition, and cognitive flexibility (Davidson et al. 2006). The task has three levels of difficulty: The congruent, incongruent, and mixed conditions, as has been previously described (Diamond et al. 2007; Kerns 1998; Petrides and Milner 1982; Davidson et al. 2006). In the congruent condition, participants are instructed to press on the same side as a red heart, which appeared for 1,000 milliseconds on the right or left of the computer screen; little or no executive function is required. In the incongruent condition, they are instructed to press on the side opposite that of a flower appearing on the right or left of the computer screen; inhibition of the natural tendency to respond on the same side as the stimulus is required. In the mixed condition, incongruent and congruent trials are randomly intermixed, requiring task switching. After practice, participants performed a block of 20 trials for each condition. Using a self-held button box, participants indicated their response for each stimulus. Responses of ≤200 milliseconds were excluded as being too fast to be in response to the stimulus.

Visual Continuous Performance Task. Participants watched various animals appearing on the computer screen (Kerns 1998, 2007). Eight animals were presented one at a time in pseudorandom order. Each participant was instructed to press a button whenever a horse appeared after a dog. Of the 156 stimuli presented, 30 were targets (a horse after a dog). In addition to reaction time, omission errors, and commission errors, d' values [*z*-score (False Alarms) – *z*-score (Hits)] were calculated.

The Petrides-Milner Self-Ordered Pointing Task. The task has three levels of difficulties—6, 8, and 12 lines of drawings (Collins et al. 1998; Diamond et al. 1997, 2004). After touching a

	Methylphenidate group Total (n=22)		$\frac{Placebo \ group}{n=12}$				
					Statistics		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t	df	р
Age	11.1	3.4	11.1	4.4	-0.1	31	0.10
Males:females (Pearson's chi-square)	13:	:9	7::	5		1	0.97
FSIQ RCRS baseline scores	82.8	10.5	78.7	11.6	-1.1	31	0.30
Attention	14.6	3.9	12.9	4.7	- 1.1	31	0.27
Hyperactivity	10.7	4.7	7.2	5.4	-1.9	31	0.06
Conduct	9.3	5.4	8.1	5.5	-0.6	31	0.54

TABLE 1. DEMOGRAPHIC AND CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF METHYLPHENIDATE AND PLACEBO GROUPS

FSIQ=Full Scale IQ; RCRS=Revised Conners' Rating Scale 28 items.

picture, the screen refreshed, and then the pictures appeared in new locations.

Data analysis

t-Test was used for between-group comparison of Full Scale IQ (FSIQ) and RCRS scores. Paired *t*-tests were used for comparing RCRS scores before and after MPH. Prior to analyzing the Hearts and Flowers and self-ordered pointing task results, scores were Z-transformed. VCPT scores were transformed to approximate a normal distribution by assigning the normal distribution value to a proportion value, also known as the probit transformation. Univariate analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to compare cognitive scores between groups. In all ANCOVAs, FSIQ, age, and gender were entered as potential covariates. The McNemar test was used for comparing rate of above chance scores on the mixed condition of the Hearts and Flowers task before and after MPH or placebo administration and for comparing the rate of side effects.

Results

Baseline neuropsychiatric profile

Twenty-eight subjects met the DSM-IV-TR criteria for ADHD— 17 (50%) inattentive type, 11 (33.3%) combined type, and 6 (17.7%) ADHD-NOS. Subjects in the MPH (n=22) and placebo (n=12) groups were similar in mean age, FSIQ, gender distribution, severity of ADHD symptoms (RCRS scores), distribution of ADHD subtypes, and rate of psychiatric co-morbidities (Table 1). The psychiatric comorbidities of the study sample consisted of oppositional-defiant disorder (ODD) (23.5%), specific phobia (26.5%), generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) and social phobia (11.8% each), dysthymic disorder (8.8%), and separation anxiety disorder (5.9%).

Efficacy of single-dose MPH versus placebo in improving prefrontal cognitive functioning

There were no statistically significant differences between the MPH and placebo groups in any of the prefrontal cognitive measures at baseline. After a single dose of MPH, the following effects on PFC were detected.

Hearts and Flowers Task. On the congruent condition (which placed little or no demand on executive functioning), both groups performed well (91.4% and 93.9% correct in MPH and placebo groups, respectively), with no difference between groups after treatment (F=0.047, df=32, p=0.83). In the incongruent condition

(which places a demand on inhibition), the standardized delta score of the MPH group was positive, indicating improvement in task performance, whereas the standardized delta score of the placebo group was negative. The difference in the standardized delta scores between the MPH and placebo group was statistically significant (F = 4.7, df = 30, p < 0.05, ES = 0.31) (Fig. 1). In the mixed condition of the Hearts and Flowers task (which requires task switching), only 50.0% subjects in the MPH group (11 of 22) and 58.3% subjects in the placebo group (7 of 11) scored above chance at baseline. After MPH administration, 77.3% of subjects scored above chance, and only 66.7% of subjects scored above chance after placebo (p = 0.07).

Visual Continuous Performance Task. The *d*' values of the MPH group improved, whereas those of the placebo group deteriorated (F = 4.8, df = 31, p < 0.05, ES = -0.38).

Self-ordered Pointing (SOP). Change in performance on this task was minimal and similar in the MPH and placebo groups (F=0.1, df=31, p=0.71).

Clinical effectiveness of MPH

Of the 22 children who were prescribed MPH, 16 children continued MPH treatment beyond the 1-day prefrontal cognitive testing. Six children immediately discontinued following the 1-day testing, because the parents were apprehensive about the potential



FIG. 1. Change in prefrontal cognitive function following single-dose methylphenidate and placebo administration. The scores on the incongruent condition of the Hearts and Flowers task and Visual CPT (d') tests improved in the methylphenidate but not in the placebo group. Visual CPT: Delta sign was reversed to assure that positive sign indicates improvement.

	Baseline score		6 Months		Statistics		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t	df	р
RCRS							
Attention	15.9	3.2	12.1	4.3	3.8	12	< 0.001
Hyperactivity	13.7	3.5	5.2	2.9	7.1	12	< 0.001
Conduct	9.7	5.1	5.3	3.7	4.2	12	< 0.001
Total	45.7	11.4	26.7	7.8	6.9	12	< 0.001

 TABLE 2. RESPONSE OF CHILDREN WITH VELOCARDIOFACIAL SYNDROME AND CO-MORBID

 ATTENTION-DEFICIT/HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER FOLLOWING 6-MONTH METHYLPHENIDATE TREATMENT

RCRS=Revised Conner's Rating Scale 28 items.

long-term side effects of the drug. One child discontinued treatment after 2 weeks because of poor compliance. The rest of the subjects, 15 children, continued MPH for the entire 6-month period of the study. Of the 15 subjects, 8 (53.3%) had a psychiatric co-morbidity, including specific phobia (n=4), ODD (n=4), GAD (n=3), and eating disorder not otherwise specified (n=1). None of the subjects was on any other psychotropic medication during the study period. Twelve subjects completed the RCRS questionnaire. Overall, after 6 months of treatment, there was a significant improvement in ADHD symptoms, as reflected by the RCRS attention, hyperactivity, impulsivity, and total scores (Table 2).

Cardiac safety of MPH treatment

Of the 22 children who received MPH, 12 (54.5%) had congenital anomalies of the heart and great vessels, including Tetralogy of Fallot (n=3), ventricular septal defect (n=3), patent ductus arteriosus (n=2), asymmetric aortic valve (n=2), and anomalies in aortic arch (n=2). The respective values at baseline and 90 minutes after MPH administration were as follows: Diastolic blood pressure $(60.6\pm8.4 \text{ vs. } 64.6\pm6.9 \text{ mmHg}, \text{ respectively}, p < 0.05)$, systolic blood pressure (108.1 ± 11.2 vs. 108.7 ± 7.9 mmHg, respectively), mean arterial pressure (76.3±7.0 vs. 80.5±7.1 mm Hg, respectively, p < 0.05), and heart rate (82.4±13.5 vs. 87.9±17.8 bpm, respectively, p < 0.05). There were no changes in QTc (0.40 ± 0.015) vs. 0.40 ± 0.019 , respectively) and PR interval (0.13 ± 0.017 vs. 0.13 ± 0.017 , respectively) measures. An increase in systolic blood pressure above the two standard deviation means (95th percentile) for age and height (National Institutes of Health 2005) and below the 99th percentile was observed in two boys. None of the children exhibited tachycardia (age adjusted) after MPH administration.

MPH-associated side effects

The rates of side effects within 24 hours and within 6 months after initiation of MPH treatment are shown in Table 3. Overall, MPH treatment was well tolerated, and none of the subjects discontinued medication because of a side effect. As seen in Table 3, immediate side effects tended to persist, reflected by similar "immediate" and "6 months after" rates for all side effects. The most common side effects after 6 months of treatment included poor appetite (93.7%), headache (66.6%), and stomachache (56.2%). None of the patients exhibited psychotic symptoms, or manic, hypomanic exacerbation during the 6-month study period.

Effect of MPH on prefrontal cognitive functioning and on occurrence of side effects by COMT genotype

There was a significant difference between the *COMT Met* (n=12) and *COMT Val* (n=10) subgroups in the change in per-

formance on the Hearts and Flowers task—congruent condition. The *COMT Val* subgroup performance on this task improved, whereas the *Met* variant performance deteriorated (F=4.8, p < 0.05, ES = 0.39) following MPH administration. There were no other statistically significant differences between the *COMT Met* and *COMT Val* subgroups on any of the other PFC cognitive test scores.

The *COMT Val* subgroup also had significantly higher rate of sadness/unhappiness in response to MPH treatment (8 subjects vs. 2 subjects, respectively, p < 0.05). No differences were noted between *COMT Met* and *Val* subgroups in the rate of other side effects or in the cardiovascular measures.

Discussion

Although ADHD is the most common psychiatric disorder in children with VCFS (Aneja et al. 2007; Green et al. 2009), there are

TABLE 3. NUMBER OF PATIENTS AND RATE OF COMMON ^a
Side Effects Immediately and 6 Months after
Initiation of Methylphenidate Treatment

	Mild	Clinically significant	Total
Poor appetite			
Immediate	10 (45.5)	9 (40.9)	19 (86.4)
After 6 months	2 (12.5)	13 (87.5)	15 (93.7)
Headaches			
Immediate	10 (45.5)	2 (9.1)	12 (54.5)
After 6 months	6 (37.5)	2 (12.5)	8 (66.6)
Stomachaches			
Immediate	8 (36.4)	3 (13.6)	11 (50.0)
After 6 months	7 (43.8)	2 (12.5)	9 (56.2)
Sadness/unhappine	· · · ·		. ,
Immediate	9 (40.9)	1 (4.5)	10 (45.2)
After 6 months	4 (25)	3 (18.8)	7 (43.7)
Trouble sleeping		. ,	
Immediate	8 (36.4)	1 (4.5)	9 (40.9)
After 6 months	6 (37.5)	1 (6.2)	7 (43.7)
Uninterested		. ,	
Immediate	7 (31.8)	2 (9.1)	9 (40.9)
After 6 months	4 (25.0)	0	4 (25.0)
Talking little			. ,
Immediate	6 (27.3)	3 (13.6)	9 (40.9)
After 6 months	6 (37.5)	0	6 (37.5)
Daydreams			
Immediate	6 (27.3)	2 (9.1)	8 (36.3)
After 6 months	3 (18.8)	0	3 (18.8)
Irritability			
Immediate	5 (22.7)	1 (4.5)	6 (27.2)
After 6 months	0	2 (12.5)	2 (12.5)

^aSide effects were present in at least 25% of the subjects.

METHYLPHENIDATE IN VELOCARDIOFACIAL

very limited data on the safety and efficacy of treatment with stimulants in this population (Gothelf et al. 2003). This is the first study using a cognitive prefrontal battery and a placebo comparison group to evaluate the efficacy of single MPH administration and the first study examining the safety and effectiveness of continuous (6 months) MPH treatment in individuals with VCFS. Overall, we found continuous MPH treatment to be effective and safe in treating ADHD symptoms in children with VCFS.

Single dose of MPH versus placebo administration

We found that the prefrontal cognitive functions—inhibitory control, working memory, and cognitive flexibility—improved more in subjects with VCFS treated with a single dose of MPH than in those given placebo. These differences were noted on the Hearts and Flowers task (incongruent condition and mixed conditions) and on the VCPT task. Disinhibition and impairment in cognitive flexibility (perseveration) are prominent cognitive deficits in individuals with VCFS (Antshel et al. 2008; Lajiness-O'Neill et al. 2006; Woodin et al. 2001). Deficits in inhibitory control and perseveration are also common in non-VCFS children who suffer from ADHD (Willcutt et al. 2005). These deficits have been shown to respond to MPH treatment in non-VCFS children (O'Driscoll et al. 2005). To our knowledge, this is the first study demonstrating inhibitory control improvement following single MPH administration in children with VCFS.

On the SOP task, there were no differences between the MPH and placebo groups, as expected. This is in line with the findings of Diamond et al., replicated by others (Collins et al. 1998; Diamond et al. 1997, 2004), showing that tasks taxing both working memory and inhibition (i.e., the Hearts and Flowers task and the VCPT) are dopamine dependent, whereas tasks taxing only working memory (i.e., the SOP) are insensitive to PFC dopamine levels.

Continuous MPH treatment

We found MPH treatment to be clinically effective and safe during the 6-month study period. Following 6 months of treatment, there was a mean 40% reduction in severity of ADHD symptoms reported by parents on the RCRS overall index. A similar magnitude of effect of MPH on ADHD symptoms has been reported in non-VCFS children with ADHD (Schachter et al. 2001).

One of the most common psychiatric disorders in children with neurogenetic syndromes is ADHD (Rowles and Findling 2010). Yet there are very few reports on safety and effectiveness of stimulant treatment in children with neurogenetic syndromes. On VCFS, there is only one 4-week open label study demonstrating MPH effectiveness for ADHD symptoms (Gothelf et al. 2003), and on fragile X syndrome, there is one controlled trial demonstrating a 66% response rate to MPH (Hagerman et al. 1988). On Williams syndrome, there are only two publications on stimulant treatment, consisting altogether of six patients (Bawden et al. 1997; Power et al. 1997). To our knowledge, there are no studies on stimulants use in Prader-Willi and Down syndromes.

Safety and side effects profile

We found that all subjects (100%) with VCFS treated with MPH exhibited at least one side effect. This high rate of MPH-induced side effects may be related to haploinsufficiency of the *COMT* gene, associated with excess presence of dopamine in VCFS-ADHD children, following MPH treatment. Nevertheless, MPH seems to be relatively well tolerated, as none of the treated children had to discontinue MPH because of a side effect. None of the subjects developed psychotic symptoms or a medical emergency following initiation of MPH or at the 6-month follow-up.

The most common side effects observed were poor appetite, headache, stomachache, and depressive symptoms. The rate of all side effects immediately observed following initiation of treatment remained similarly high after 6 months of treatment. Thus, according to our findings, it seems that in children with VCFS, tolerance did not develop to MPH side effects. Similarly, in non-VCFS children, stimulant-induced side effects, especially loss of appetite, persist even 5 years after initiation of treatment (Charach et al. 2004).

In line with our previous study (Green et al. 2009), we found in this study a high rate (~40%) of emergence of depressive-like side effects. As noted by previous studies, the depressive symptoms may reflect a predisposition of children with VCFS to develop depressive disorders (Green et al. 2009; Jolin et al. 2009). We also found differences in the *COMT Met* and *Val* subgroups: The *COMT Val* subgroup had significantly higher rates of sadness/unhappiness in response to MPH treatment. This finding is in line with the recent report of higher scores of anxiety and depressive symptoms in VCFS children carrying the *COMT Val* allele (Shashi et al. 2006).

Cardiovascular safety

In this study, we also performed in-depth evaluation of the cardiac safety of single MPH administration in VCFS. Following single MPH administration, we found a minimal increase in mean heart rate and diastolic blood pressure, which is in line with cardiovascular changes occurring in non-VCFS children (Ballard et al. 1976). For children with no compromised left heart function, these cardiovascular changes are relatively minor (Silva et al. 2010). However, in children with cardiological malformations associated with a potential compromise of left heart function, which is not common in children with VCFS, such cardiovascular changes need more careful attention (Nissen 2006). In two children we found a clinically significant increase in systolic blood pressure following single MPH administration.

We therefore recommend comprehensive cardiological evaluations for all children with VCFS prior to and also following the initiation of a stimulant medication. Prior to initiation of a stimulant medication we recommend clinical evaluation by a pediatric cardiologist, that would include echocardiogram and electrocardiogram. We also suggest measuring heart rate and blood pressure evaluations before and following initiation of a stimulant medication. In those cases with clinically significant cardiovascular effects of MPH (e.g., MPH-induced systolic hypertension), further testing (e.g., 24-h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring) should be considered (Vetter et al. 2008; Samuels et al. 2006).

COMT genotype and MPH effect

We did not find that MPH's effect on PFC functioning was related to the COMT *Met* or *Val* genotype. Overall, MPH was equally effective for VCFS *COMT Met* and *Val* carriers. It may be because our sample size was not large enough to detect small differences in response to MPH that may discriminate the *COMT Met* and *COMT Val* subgroups. Alternatively, our findings suggest that although MPH increases PFC dopamine (Balcioglu et al. 2009) levels, it does not negatively affect these functions even in those subjects with putatively high levels of PFC dopamine (i.e., VCFS *COMT Met* carriers).

Limitations

The placebo-controlled first part of the study indicates efficacy of single MPH administration on PFC functions after 90 minutes. Longer administration of treatment is required to assess long-term cognitive effects of MPH treatment. In addition, our sample size was relatively small, although the largest sample to date of psychiatric treatment studies in VCFS. The sample size limited our ability to detect differences between MPH and placebo groups and between the *COMT Met* and *COMT Val* subgroups. A placebocontrolled crossover design would be of value in further MPH studies in this population. Moreover, although MPH was found to be relatively safe for use in VCFS children, the prevalence of the most severe complications is low and may not be captured by this small sample size.

Conclusion

The use of MPH in children with VCFS appears to be effective and relatively safe. In a single administration, compared to placebo, MPH had a positive effect on dopamine-dependent PFC functions in children with VCFS. The chronic use of MPH in children with VCFS and ADHD was found safe during the 6-month follow-up period.

Clinical Significance

This study shows that MPH is effective, relatively safe, and well tolerated in children who suffer from VCFS with comorbid ADHD. Because we observed an increase in blood pressure and heart rate following single MPH administration, which in some cases can be clinically significant, we recommend a comprehensive cardiological evaluation for all children with VCFS prior and also following the initiation of stimulant medication.

Disclosure

Dr. Tamar Green, Ms. Ronnie Weinberger, Dr. Adele Diamond, Dr. Michael Berant, Ms. Leora Hirschfeld, Dr. Amos Frisch, Mr. Omer Zarchi, Dr. Abraham Weizman, and Dr. Doron Gothelf have no institutional or corporate/commercial relationships for the past 36 months that might pose a conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was done at the Behavioral Neurogenetics Center, Schneider Children's Medical Center of Israel. Statistical expertise was provided by Dikal Geva (IntegriStat, Tel Aviv, Israel). The authors are grateful to Harriet Sugar Miller for editorial assistance.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th ed., Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
- Aneja A, Fremont WP, Antshel KM, Faraone SV, AbdulSabur N, Higgins AM, Shprintzen R, Kates WR: Manic symptoms and behavioral dysregulation in youth with velocardiofacial syndrome (22q11.2 deletion syndrome). J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol 17:105–114, 2007.
- Antshel KM, Fremont W, Kates WR: The neurocognitive phenotype in velo-cardio-facial syndrome: A developmental perspective. Dev Disabil Res Rev 14:43–51, 2008.
- Balcioglu A, Ren JQ, McCarthy D, Spencer TJ, Biederman J, Bhide PG: Plasma and brain concentrations of oral therapeutic doses of methylphenidate and their impact on brain monoamine content in mice. Neuropharmacology 57:687–693, 2009.

- Ballard JE, Boileau RA, Sleator EK, Massey BH, Sprague RL: Cardiovascular responses of hyperactive children to methylphenidate. JAMA 236:2870–2874, 1976.
- Bassett AS, Chow EW, AbdelMalik P, Gheorghiu M, Husted J, Weksberg R: The schizophrenia phenotype in 22q11 deletion syndrome. Am J Psychiatry 160:1580–1586, 2003.
- Bawden HN, MacDonald GW, Shea S: Treatment of children with Williams syndrome with methylphenidate. J Child Neurol 12:248– 252, 1997.
- Berkley RA, Fischer M, Newby RF, Breen MJ: Development of a multi method clinical protocol for assessing stimulant drug response in children with attention deficit disorder. J Clin Child Psychol 17:14–24, 1988.
- Caplan R, Arbelle S, Guthrie D, Komo S, Shields WD, Hansen R, Chayasirisobhon S: Formal thought disorder and psychopathology in pediatric primary generalized and complex partial epilepsy. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 36:1286–1294, 1997.
- Charach A, Ickowicz A, Schachar R: Stimulant treatment over five years: Adherence, effectiveness, and adverse effects. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 43:559–567, 2004, 2004.
- Collins P, Roberts AC, Dias R, Everitt BJ, Robbins TW: Perseveration and strategy in a novel spatial self-ordered sequencing task for nonhuman primates: Effects of excitotoxic lesions and dopamine depletions of the prefrontal cortex. J Cogn Neurosci 10:332–354, 1998.
- Davidson MC, Amso D, Anderson LC, Diamond A: Development of cognitive control and executive functions from 4 to 13 years: Evidence from manipulations of memory, inhibition, and task switching. Neuropsychologia 44:2037–2078, 2006.
- Debbane M, Glaser B, David MK, Feinstein C, Eliez S: Psychotic symptoms in children and adolescents with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: Neuropsychological and behavioral implications. Schizophr Res 84:187–193, 2006.
- Diamond A, Barnett WS, Thomas J, Munro S: Preschool program improves cognitive control. Science 318:1387–1388, 2007.
- Diamond A, Briand L, Fossella J, Gehlbach L: Genetic and neurochemical modulation of prefrontal cognitive functions in children. Am J Psychiatry 161:125–132, 2004.
- Diamond A, Prevor MB, Callender G, Druin DP: Prefrontal cortex cognitive deficits in children treated early and continuously for PKU. Monogr Soc Res Child Dev 62:1–208, 1997.
- Funke B, Pandita RK, Morrow BE: Isolation and characterization of a novel gene containing WD40 repeats from the region deleted in velo-cardio-facial/DiGeorge syndrome on chromosome 22q11. Genomics 73:264–271, 2001.
- Gothelf D, Gruber R, Presburger G, Dotan I, Brand-Gothelf A, Burg M, Inbar D, Steinberg T, Frisch A, Apter A, Weizman A: Methylphenidate treatment for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents with velocardiofacial syndrome: An open-label study. J Clin Psychiatry 64:1163–1169, 2003.
- Goyette CH, Conners CK, Ulrich FR: Normative data on Revised Conners Parent and Teacher Rating Scales. J Abnorm Child Psychol 6:221–236, 1978.
- Green T, Gothelf D, Glaser B, Debbane M, Frisch A, Kotler M, Weizman A, Eliez S: Psychiatric disorders and intellectual functioning throughout development in velocardiofacial (22q11.2 deletion) syndrome. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 48:1060– 1068, 2009.
- Hagerman RJ, Murphy MA, Wittenberger MD: A controlled trial of stimulant medication in children with the fragile X syndrome. Am J Med Genet 30:377–392, 1988.
- Jolin EM, Weller RA, Jessani NR, Zackai EH, McDonald-McGinn DM, Weller EB: Affective disorders and other psychiatric diagnoses in children and adolescents with 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome. J Affect Disord 119:177–180, 2009.

METHYLPHENIDATE IN VELOCARDIOFACIAL

- Kaufman J, Birmaher B, Brent D, Rao U, Flynn C, Moreci P, Williamson D, Ryan N: Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children-Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL): Initial reliability and validity data. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 36:980–988, 1997.
- Kerns KA, McInerney R: Preschool Tasks [Computer software]. BC: University of Victoria, 2007.
- Kerns KA, Rondeau LA: Development of a continuous performance test for preschool children. J Atten Disord 2:229–238, 1998.
- Lajiness-O'Neill R, Beaulieu I, Asamoah A, Titus JB, Bawle E, Ahmad S, Kirk JW, Pollack R: The neuropsychological phenotype of velocardiofacial syndrome (VCFS): Relationship to psychopathology. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 21:175–184, 2006.
- National Institutes of Health: Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure, in Children and Adolescents: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, NIH Publication No. 05-5267, 2005.
- Nissen SE: ADHD drugs and cardiovascular risk. N Engl J Med 354:1445–1448, 2006.
- O'Driscoll GA, Depatie L, Holahan AL, Savion-Lemieux T, Barr RG, Jolicoeur C, Douglas VI: Executive functions and methylphenidate response in subtypes of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry 57:1452–1460, 2005.
- Petrides M, Milner B: Deficits on subject-ordered tasks after frontaland temporal-lobe lesions in man. Neuropsychologia 20:249–262, 1982.
- Power TJ, Blum NJ, Jones SM, Kaplan PE: Brief report: Response to methylphenidate in two children with Williams syndrome. J Autism Dev Disord 27:79–87, 1997.
- Rowles BM, Findling RL: Review of pharmacotherapy options for the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and ADHD-like symptoms in children and adolescents with developmental disorders. Dev Disabil Res Rev 16:273–282, 2010.
- Samuels JA, Franco K, Wan F, Sorof JM: Effect of stimulants on 24-h ambulatory blood pressure in children with ADHD: A double-blind, randomized, cross-over trial. Pediatr Nephrol 21: 92–95, 2006.
- Schachter HM, Pham B, King J, Langford S, Moher D: How efficacious and safe is short-acting methylphenidate for the treatment of attention-deficit disorder in children and adolescents? A metaanalysis. CMAJ 165:1475–1488, 2001.

- Shanee N, Apter A, Weizman A: Psychometric properties of the K-SADS-PL in an Israeli adolescent clinical population. Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci 34:179–186, 1997.
- Shashi V, Keshavan MS, Howard TD, Berry MN, Basehore MJ, Lewandowski E, Kwapil TR: Cognitive correlates of a functional COMT polymorphism in children with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. Clin Genet 69:234–238, 2006.
- Shprintzen RJ: Velo-cardio-facial syndrome: A distinctive behavioral phenotype. Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev 6:142–147, 2000.
- Silva RR, Skimming JW, Muniz R: Cardiovascular safety of stimulant medications for pediatric attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Clin Pediatr (Phila) 49:840–851, 2010.
- Vetter VL, Elia J, Erickson C, Berger S, Blum N, Uzark K, Webb CL: Cardiovascular monitoring of children and adolescents with heart disease receiving medications for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young Congenital Cardiac Defects Committee and the Council on Cardiovascular Nursing. Circulation 117:2407–2423, 2008.
- Wechsler D: Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 3rd edition manual. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation, 1991.
- Willcutt EG, Doyle AE, Nigg JT, Faraone SV, Pennington BF: Validity of the executive function theory of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A meta-analytic review. Biol Psychiatry 57:1336– 1346, 2005.
- Woodin M, Wang PP, Aleman D, McDonald-McGinn D, Zackai E, Moss E: Neuropsychological profile of children and adolescents with the 22q11.2 microdeletion. Genet Med 3:34–39, 2001.

Address correspondence to: Doron Gothelf, M.D. The Child Psychiatry Unit Edmond and Lily Safra Children's Hospital Sheba Medical Center Tel Hashomer 52621 Ramat Gan Israel

E-mail: gothelf@post.tau.ac.il